arXiv:1610.04425v2 [math.RA] 14 Dec 2016

VERBALLY PRIME T-IDEALS AND GRADED DIVISION ALGEBRAS

ELI ALJADEFF AND YAAKOV KARASIK

Abstract. Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let G be a finite group. Consider G-graded algebras A which are finite dimensional and central over F, i.e. $Z(A)_e = F$. We determine explicitly all such algebras which admit a G-graded division algebra twisted form. More precisely, we determine all such algebras A which admit a G-graded division algebra B over a field k, such that $B \otimes_k E$ and $A \otimes_F E$ are G-graded isomorphic. Here E is a large enough field which extends k and F.

This result is deeply rooted in the theory of G-graded polynomial identities (PI). We introduce G-graded strongly verbally prime T-ideals and classify them in the affine case (i.e. contain a Capelli polynomial). We show that these ideals are precisely the T-ideals represented by finite dimensional G-graded division algebras. It turns out, that only by considering the interplay between the two notions we can solve the two classification problems.

1. introduction

The study of PI algebras over a field F of characteristic zero is closely related to the study of T-ideals of the noncommutative free associative algebra $F\langle X\rangle$ where X is a countable set of variables. Since any T-ideal Γ appears as the ideal of polynomial identities of a suitable algebra A, it is natural to consider special types of T-ideals and try to understand what algebras have them as their ideal of identities. In the ungraded case, two types of *primeness* on T-ideals, namely *prime* T-ideals and *verbally prime* T-ideals (by definition a T-ideal I is prime if for any two ideals J_1, J_2 such that $J_1J_2 \subseteq I$, either $J_1 \subseteq I$ or $J_2 \subseteq I$ whereas I is verbally prime if a similar condition holds whenever the ideals J_1 and J_2 are T-ideals). A PI algebra is prime (resp: verbally prime) if its T-ideal of identities is prime (resp. verbally prime).

We recall their classification in the next theorem. The first part follows immediately from Posner's Theorem ([9], Section 1.11) whereas the second part is due to Kemer [11].

Theorem 1.1. (1) *A is a prime PI algebra if and only if it is PI equivalent to $M_n(F)$ for some n.*

(2) *A is a verbally prime PI algebra if and only if it is PI equivalent to the Grassmann envelope of a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}-$simple F-algebra A. It follows that if A is also affine then A is a prime PI algebra.*

In this paper we consider extensions of Theorem 1.1 to the G-graded setting where G is any finite group.

Key words and phrases. graded algebras, polynomial identities, verbally prime, graded division algebras.

Posner's Theorem was recently extended to the G-graded setting by Karasik (see [10]). As a corollary he obtains a generalization of Theorem 1.1 part (1) for G-graded algebras (see Theorem 1.4 below). Our goal here is to extend Theorem 1.1 part (2). Somewhat more precisely, we wish to classify $G-$graded algebras (up to graded PI equivalence) which are PI as ungraded algebras and whose T-ideal of G-graded identities satisfy conditions which are analogue to verbally prime (see below for the precise definitions).

Remark 1.2. A key ingredient in Kemer's proof (of Theorem 1.1 part (2)) is his own celebrated Representability Theorem which states that every PI algebra is PI equivalent to the Grassmann envelope of a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}-$ graded algebra. As in the ungraded case, an important tool in our proofs is the Representability Theorem for G-graded algebras (see Theorem (A) below or ([1], Theorems 1.1 and 1.3)). Since the Representability Theorem makes sense only for G-graded algebras that are ungraded PI, we restrict our discussion to algebras of that type.

It may seem that the generalization to $G-$ graded algebras is a natural extension of Kemer's original work. Surprisingly, this is not the case as we shall soon explain. It is well known that for ordinary T-ideals (i.e. ungraded) the property of a T-ideal I being verbally prime may be expressed also in terms of polynomials, that is, I is verbally prime if and only if the following holds: if $f, g \in F\langle X\rangle$ are polynomials defined on disjoint sets of variables with $fg \in I$, then either f or g is in I. Note that if I is the T-ideal of identities of an algebra A, then the above condition on f and g says that if fg is an identity of A then either f or g is an identity of A. Most of the time we shall work with that terminology.

It turns out that the equivalence of the (two) conditions mentioned above for verbally primeness of T-ideals is not longer valid when considering $G-$graded T-ideals and hence we obtain two *primeness* conditions on ($G-$graded) T-ideals. One finds that the corresponding condition for G-graded T-ideals expressed in terms of polynomials is *strictly stronger*, and hence will say that G-graded T-ideals (or G-graded algebras) satisfying that property are *strongly verbally prime*. For future reference we record below the following definitions.

Definition 1.3. Let Γ be a G-graded T-ideal which contains a nonzero PI.

(1) We say Γ is *G-graded prime* if for any G-graded ideals I and J with $IJ \subseteq \Gamma$, then $I \subseteq \Gamma$ or $J \subseteq \Gamma$.
(2) We say Γ is *G-graded verbally prime* if for any G-graded T-ideals I and J with $IJ \subseteq \Gamma$, then $I \subseteq \Gamma$ or $J \subseteq \Gamma$.
(3) We say Γ is *G-graded strongly verbally prime* if for any G-graded homogeneous polynomials f and g defined on *disjoint* sets of variables with $fg \in \Gamma$, then $f \in \Gamma$ or $g \in \Gamma$.

As mentioned above, Posner's theorem was generalized to the G-graded setting by Karasik ([10], Corollary 4.7). In particular it gives the classification of G-prime T-ideals.

Theorem 1.4. *Let A be a G-graded algebra which is PI as an ungraded algebra. Then its T-ideal of identities is prime if and only if A is G-graded PI equivalent to a finite dimensional G-simple F-algebra.*

The classification of G-graded verbally prime T-ideals (or algebras) was obtained by Berele and Bergen in [6] and independently by Di Vincenzo in [7] for the important case where $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$. The precise result is as follows.

Theorem 1.5. *Let A be a $G-$graded algebra which is also PI as an ungraded algebra. Then A is verbally prime if and only if it is $G-$graded PI equivalent to the Grassmann envelope of a finite dimensional $G_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times G-$simple F-algebra. If A is also affine, then A is G-verbally prime if and only if it is G-prime, that is, if and only if A is $G-$graded PI equivalent to a finite dimensional $G-$simple F-algebra.*

The classification of G-graded T-ideals satisfying the stronger condition, namely *G-strongly verbally prime*, appears to be more involved. One needs to translate the language of $G-$graded polynomial identities into the algebraic structure of G-simple algebras. In this paper we provide a full answer in the affine case, namely, we will classify up to $G-$graded PI equivalence, $G-$strongly verbally prime algebras which are affine. At this point, the nonaffine case remains open.

From Theorem 1.5, and the fact that *strongly verbally primeness* implies *verbally primeness* we have that in order to classify the G-graded affine algebras which are strongly verbally prime we need to determine the finite dimensional G-simple algebras A which satisfy the following condition: if f and g are G-graded homogeneous polynomials (with respect to the G-grading) with disjoint sets of graded variables and such that fg is an identity of A, then f or g is an identity of A. In order to state our main results we recall the structure of finite dimensional G-graded algebras which are G-simple. This result is key and is due to Bahturin, Sehgal and Zaicev [5].

Theorem 1.6. *Let A be a f.d. $G-$simple algebra over an algebraically closed field F of zero characteristic. Then there is a subgroup H of G, a two cocycle $c \in Z^2(H, F^*)$ (the $H-$action on F^* is trivial) and $\mathfrak{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_m) \in G^{(m)}$, such that $A \cong F^cH \otimes M_m(F)$, where (by this identification) the $G-$grading on A is given by*

$$A_g = span_F \left\{ u_h \otimes e_{i,j} | g = g_i^{-1} h g_j \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that up to a G-graded isomorphism the algebra A does not depend on the 2-cocycle c but only on its cohomology class $[c] \in H^2(H, F^*)$. We therefore denote the G-grading on the algebra A by $P_A = (H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$. We also say that $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$ is a presentation of the G-graded algebra A.

Note that if an algebra A is G-graded then it is U-graded in the natural way whenever G is a subgroup of U (just by putting $A_u = 0$ for $u \in U - G$). Note that in that case, A is strongly verbally prime as a G-graded algebra if and only if A is strongly verbally prime as a U-graded algebra. We therefore assume for the rest of the paper, that the grading is *connected*. This means that the support of the grading generates the group G. Here is one of the two main results of the paper.

Theorem 1.7. *Let Γ be a G-graded T-ideal where G is a finite group. Suppose Γ contains an ungraded Capelli polynomial c_n for some integer n (equivalently, Γ is the T-ideal of G-graded identities of an affine PI algebra). Then the ideal Γ is G-graded strongly verbally prime if and only if it is the T-ideal of G-graded identities of a finite dimensional G-simple algebra A with presentation $P_A = (H, \alpha, \mathfrak{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_k))$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) *The group H is normal in G.*
(2) *The different cosets of H in G are equally represented in the k-tuple $\mathfrak{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_k)$. In particular the integer k is a multiple of $[G : H]$.*
(3) *The cohomology class $\alpha \in H^2(H, F^*)$ is G-invariant (here G acts on $H^2(H, F^*)$ via conjugation on H and trivially on F^*).*

Corollary 1.8. *Let A be a G-simple algebra with presentation $P_A = (H, \alpha, \mathfrak{g})$. Suppose H is normal and H-cosets representatives are equally represented in $\mathfrak{g}$.*

(1) *If G is abelian then A is strongly verbally prime.*
(2) *If α is trivial then A is strongly verbally prime.*

In particular we have

Corollary 1.9. *Let A be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{e, \sigma\}$-simple algebra over F with presentation $P_A = (H, \alpha, \mathfrak{g})$. Then A is strongly verbally prime if and only if $H \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or else $H = \{e\}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = (e, \dots, e, \sigma, \dots, \sigma)$, e and σ appear the same number of times.*

One of the main features of finite dimensional strongly verbally prime algebras is its intimate relation to finite dimensional G-graded division algebras, that is finite dimensional G-graded algebras whose nonzero homogeneous elements are invertible (e.g. the group algebra FG).

Let A be a finite dimensional G-simple F-algebra and suppose further that $Z(A)_e = F1_A$ where e is the identity element of G. It is easy to see that if A is a G-graded division algebra, then necessarily A is G-graded strongly verbally prime. Considerably more generally, if an algebra A admits a G-graded K-form B_K (in the sense of *descent*) for which every nonzero homogeneous element is invertible then again A is strongly verbally prime. We say that *A has a G-graded K-form division algebra*.

Using Theorem 1.7 we obtain the second main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.10. *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra $G-$simple F-algebra where $Z(A)_e = F1_A$. Then A is strongly verbally prime if and only if it has a G-graded division algebra form. That is, there exists a finite dimensional G-graded division algebra B over K where $Z(B)_e = K1_B$, and a field E which extends K and F such that B_E and A_E are G-graded isomorphic algebras over E.*

Combining Theorems 1.7 and 1.10 we obtain the main corollary of the paper.

Corollary 1.11. *Suppose F is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let let A be a finite dimensional G-graded F-algebra. Then A admits a G-graded K-form division algebra B, some field K, if and only if A is G-simple whose presentation $P_A = (H, \alpha, \mathfrak{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_k))$ satisfies conditions $(1) - (3)$ in Theorem 1.7.*

Once again, it is immediate that if A is finite dimensional and has a G-graded K-form division algebra then A is strongly verbally prime (if f and g are G-homogeneous polynomials on disjoint sets of variables such that $fg \in Id_G(A)$ then either f or g is in $Id_G(A)$). However, it turns out that in that case one can remove the condition on the disjointness of sets of the variables. In fact we have

Theorem 1.12. *Notation as above. Let A be a $G-$graded F-algebra (possibly infinite dimensional). Then A is $G-PI$ equivalent to a finite dimensional $G-$division*

algebra over some field K if and only if for any two G-homogeneous polynomials f and g such that $fg \in Id_G(A)$ then either $f \in Id_G(A)$ or $g \in Id_G(A)$. Consequently, any of these conditions holds if and only if A is $G-$strongly verbally prime and $G-PI$ equivalent to a finite dimensional algebra.

Here is the outline of the paper. In section §2 we introduce some basic results and notation in graded PI theory. As mentioned earlier, Theorem 1.5 appears in [6] although there is some confusion with the different definitions of verbally primeness. For completeness of this article we devote a short section (section §3) for its proof.

In section §4 we discuss the strongly verbally prime condition and show the conditions of Theorem 1.7 are necessary. In the same section we discuss also their sufficiency however the proof of Theorem 1.7 is completed only in section §5 after we discuss G-graded division algebra twisted forms and prove Theorems 1.10 and 1.12.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **$G-$graded PI.** We fix for the rest of the article a finite group G and an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero F. Any algebra in this paper is by default an $F-$algebra. We are considering algebras A having a $G-$graded structure, that is $A = \oplus_{g\in G} A_g$, where A_g is an $F-$vector space contained in A, and $A_g A_h \subseteq A_{gh}$ for every $g, h \in G$. An element in the set $\cup_{g\in G} A_g$ is said to be *homogenous*. Sometimes we will say that $a \in A_g$ is an element of *degree* g ($\deg a = g$).

A $G-$graded polynomial is an element of the noncommutative free algebra $F\langle X_G\rangle$ generated by the variables of $X_G = \{x_{i,g} | i \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G\}$. It is clear that $F\langle X_G\rangle$ is itself $G-$graded, where $F\langle X_G\rangle_g$ is the span of all monomials $x_{i_1,g_1} \cdots x_{i_n,g_n}$, where $g_1 \cdots g_n = g$.

For elements in $F\langle X_G\rangle$ we adopt the following terminology. A G-graded polynomial p is said to be *G-homogeneous* if it belongs to $F\langle X_G\rangle_g$ for some $g \in G$. Moreover, we say p is *multihomogeneous* if any variable x_{i_g} appears the same number of times in each monomial of p. More restricted, we say p is *multilinear* if it is multihomogeneous and any variable x_{i_g} appears at most once in each monomial of p. Note that multihomogeneous or multilinear polynomials are not necessarily G-homogeneous.

We say that a $G-$graded polynomial $f(x_{i_1,g_1}, \ldots, x_{i_n,g_n})$ is a $G-$graded identity of a $G-$graded algebra A, if $f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 0$ for all $a_1 \in A_{g_1}, \ldots, a_n \in A_{g_n}$. The set of all such polynomials is denoted by $Id_G(A)$ and it is evident that it is an ideal of $F\langle X_G\rangle$ which is G-graded. In fact, it is a $G-$graded T-ideal, that is an ideal which is closed under $G-$graded endomorphisms of $F\langle X_G\rangle$.

It is often convenient to view any ungraded polynomial as $G-$graded via the embedding

$$F\langle X\rangle \to F\langle X_G\rangle,$$

where $x_i \to \sum_{g\in G} x_{i,g}$. This identification respects the $G-$graded identities, in the sense that if $f \in Id(A)$ (the $T-$ideal of ungraded identities of A), then $f \in Id_G(A)$.

As in the ungraded case, the set of ($G-$homogeneous) multilinear identities of A

$$\left\{ \sum_{\sigma\in\Lambda_{n,g}\subseteq S_n} \alpha_\sigma x_{i_{\sigma(1)},g_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{i_{\sigma(n)},g_{\sigma(n)}} \in Id_G(A) |\, g \in G, \alpha_\sigma \in F,\ i_1, \ldots, i_n \text{ are all distinct} \right\}$$

T ($G-$)generates $Id_G(A)$. Here, $\Lambda_{n,g}$ denotes the set of permutations which yield monomials of G-degree g.

Let $f \in F\langle X_G\rangle$, A a $G-$graded algebra and $S \subseteq \cup_{g\in G} A_g$. We write $f(S)$ for the subset of A consisting of all graded evaluations of f on elements of S. More generally, if $S \subseteq A$ (i.e. not necessarily homogeneous elements) we set $f(S) := f(S \cap (\cup_{g\in G} A_g))$.

Note that if f is G-graded multilinear then f is a graded identity of A if and only if $f(S) = 0$ whenever S contains a basis of A consisting of homogeneous elements.

2.2. **Grassmann envelope.** Let V be a countably generated $F-$vector space. Denote by $T(V)$ the unital tensor $F-$algebra of V. That is

$$T(V) = F \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} V^{\otimes k}$$

with the obvious addition and multiplication. The unital Grassmann algebra E is defined to be $E = T(V)/T_0(V)^2$, where

$$T_0(V) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} V^{\otimes k}.$$

In other words, if $e_1, e_2, \ldots$ is a basis of V, we may see E as the unital $F-$algebra generated by $1, e_1, e_2, ...$ and satisfies the relations $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$ (so $e_i^2 = 0$). In fact, $a_{i_1,..,i_k} := e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_k}$, where $k = 0, 1, ...$ and $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \in \mathbb{N}$, is a basis of E (for $k = 0$ we just mean $a = 1$).

It is easy to see that E is $C_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}-$graded: $E = E_0 \oplus E_1$, where E_0 is spanned by elements $a_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}$ where k is even and E_1 is spanned by elements $a_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}$ where k is odd. Moreover, it is easy to check that E_0 is the center of E.

Suppose that A is a $G_2 = C_2 \times G-$graded algebra. We denote by $E(A)$, *the Grassmann envelope of A,*

$$E(A) = \bigoplus_{g\in G} \left(A_{(0,g)} \otimes E_0\right) \oplus \left(A_{(1,g)} \otimes E_1\right).$$

which may be viewed as a G-graded algebra and also as a G_2-graded algebra.

A basic property of the Grassmann envelope is the following (the proof is omitted).

Proposition 2.1. $Id_{G_2}(A) \subseteq Id_{G_2}(B) \iff Id_{G_2}(E(A)) \subseteq Id_{G_2}(E(B))$.

3. $G-$verbally prime T-ideals

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5.

In order to proceed, let us recall the G-graded analog of Kemer's Representability theorem (see [1]). As usual, all algebras are defined over F, an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

Theorem (A)**.** *The following hold.*

(1) *Let W be an affine $G-$graded algebra which PI as an ungraded algebra. Then W is $G-$graded PI equivalent to a finite dimensional $G-$graded algebra A.*

(2) *Let W be a $G-$graded algebra which is PI as an ungraded algebra, then W is $G-$graded PI equivalent to the Grassmann envelope of an affine $G_2 = C_2 \times G-$graded algebra W_0. Thus, by part 1, W is $G-$graded PI equivalent to the Grassmann envelope of a finite dimensional G_2-graded algebra A.*

We start with the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k$ be a direct product of $G-$graded algebras. If A is verbally prime then $Id_G(A) = Id_G(A_{i_0})$ for some i_0. Moreover, if $A = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k$ is a product of G_2-graded algebras such that $E(A)$ is $G-$verbally prime, then $Id_G(E(A)) = Id_G(E(A_{i_0}))$ for some i_0.*

Proof. If $Id_G(A_1)$ is contained in $Id_G(A)$, then $Id_G(A) = Id_G(A_1)$ and we are done. Moreover, if $Id_G(A' = A_2 \times \cdots \times A_k) \subseteq Id_G(A)$, then $Id_G(A') = Id_G(A)$ and so if the theorem holds for A' it surely holds for A. Therefore, by induction we may assume that $k > 1$ and $Id_G(A_1), Id_G(A') \nsubseteq Id_G(A)$ and $Id_G(A_1)Id_G(A') \subseteq Id_G(A_1) \cap Id_G(A') = Id_G(A)$. This contradicts A being verbally prime, so $k = 1$ as desired.

The second part follows from the first part and from the equality

$$E(A) = E(A_1) \times \cdots \times E(A_k).$$

□

The following definition is nonstandard. It is used only in this section.

Definition 3.2. A finite dimensional algebra A over F is said to be is $[G : G]-$*minimal* (or just G-minimal) if it is G-graded and for any G-graded algebra B we have

$$Id_G(A) = Id_G(B) \Longrightarrow \dim_F A \leq \dim_F B.$$

We say that a finite dimensional algebra A is $[G_2 : G]-$ *minimal* if it is G_2-graded and for any G_2-graded algebra B we have

$$Id_G(E(A)) = Id_G(E(B)) \Longrightarrow \dim_F A \leq \dim_F B.$$

Lemma 3.3. *The following holds.*

(1) *If A is $G-$minimal and A is $G-$verbally prime, then A is semisimple.*
(2) *If A is $[G_2 : G]$-minimal and $E(A)$ is $G-$verbally prime, then A is semisimple.*

Proof. We show the Jacobson radical J of A is zero. Since J is G-graded (resp. G_2-graded)(see [8]), the algebra $A_{ss} = A/J$ is semisimple G-graded (resp. G_2-graded). Suppose $J \neq 0$. Then, by minimality of A, we have $Id_G(A) \subsetneq Id_G(A_{ss})$ (resp. $Id_G(E(A)) \subsetneq Id_G(E(A_{ss}))$). Let p be any multilinear polynomial in $Id_G(A_{ss}) \setminus Id_G(A)$ (resp. in $Id_G(E(A_{ss})) \setminus Id_G(E(A))$). If we consider evaluations on the spanning set $A_{ss} \cup J$ (resp. $E(A_{ss}) \cup E(J)$), we see that these evaluations are nonzero only if at least one of its variables is evaluated on an element of J (resp. $E(J)$). Thus, $p(A) \subseteq J$ (resp. $p(E(A)) \subseteq E(J)$). Since J (resp. $E(J)$) is a nilpotent ideal, there is some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (the nilpotency index of J) such that $\underbrace{p(X)p(X)\cdots p(X)}_{m-1} z_g p(Y) \in Id_G(A)$ (resp. in $Id_G(E(A))$). We get a contradiction to A (resp. $E(A)$) being verbally prime (see Lemma 4.1). □

This is used to narrow down the algebras which might be verbally prime.

Proof. (of Theorem 1.5)

Suppose Γ contains a Capelli polynomial (or equivalently, Γ is the T-ideal of an affine G-graded algebra which is ungraded PI (see[1])). Then by part 1 of Theorem A, $\Gamma = Id_G(A)$, where A is finite dimensional $G-$graded algebra. Assume also that A is $G-$minimal. By lemma 3.3 we obtain that A is semisimple. Since every semisimple $G-$graded algebra is a direct product of $G-$simple algebras, invoking lemma 3.1 we can replace A by a $G-$simple algebra. In the general case, by part 2 of Theorem A, $\Gamma = Id_G(E(A))$, where A is finite dimensional G_2-graded algebra. We assume that A is $[G_2 : G]-$minimal and continue the proof as above. This proves one direction of each one of the statements in the theorem.

For the converse, suppose A is a finite dimensional $G-$graded simple algebra. Since the evaluation of any $T-$ideal I on A is a $G-$graded ideal $\tilde{I}$ of A, in case $I \nsubseteq \Gamma$ we get $\tilde{I} = A$. So if $I, J \nsubseteq \Gamma$ we have $\widetilde{IJ} = \tilde{I}\tilde{J} = A$, so $IJ \nsubseteq \Gamma$. Thus, A is verbally prime.

Finally, we prove that if A is a G_2-simple finite dimensional algebra, then $E(A)$ is $G-$verbally prime. Suppose I is a $G-$graded $T-$ideal not contained in $\Gamma = Id_G(E(A))$. Denote

$$I_A = \{a \in A | b \otimes a = f(\tilde{x}_1, \ldots, \tilde{x}_n),\ b \neq 0, f \in I \subseteq F\langle X_G \rangle\}$$

Here, f is homogeneous in $F\langle X_G \rangle$ and $\tilde{x}_i \in E(A)$ is of the form $e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k} \otimes a_i$.

Note that $Span_F(I_A)$ is a nonzero G_2-graded ideal of A. Thus $Span_F(I_A) = A$. Hence, for any two $G-$graded $T-$ideals I, J not contained in Γ, we have $Span_F(I_A)Span_F(J_A) = A$ and hence $I(A)J(A) \neq 0$ which says that IJ is not contained in Γ. This completes the classification of $G-$graded verbally prime ideals. □

4. STRONGLY VERBALLY PRIME IDEALS

As mentioned in the introduction, we shall give a complete characterization of G-graded strongly verbally prime ideals Γ in case Γ is the T-ideal of an affine G-graded algebra which is ungraded PI.

The first step is to show that G-graded strongly verbally primeness implies verbally primeness.

Lemma 4.1. *A $G-$graded algebra A is **not** verbally prime if and only if the following holds: There are two $G-$graded polynomials $p(X), q(Y)$ defined on disjoint sets of variables which are not in $Id_G(A)$, but $pz_gq \in Id_G(A) = \Gamma$ for every $g \in G$. Equivalently, A is G-graded verbally prime if and only if for any two polynomials p and q defined on disjoint sets of variables, nonidentities of A, the product pz_gq is not a G-graded identity for some $g \in G$. Here z_g is an extra variable, g-homogeneous, not in $X \cup Y$.*

Proof. Suppose A is verbally prime and take two polynomials $p(X), q(Y)$, with disjoint sets of variables, such that $pz_gq \in \Gamma$ for every $g \in G$. We show p or q is in Γ. Since every element of $\langle p \rangle_T \langle q \rangle_T$ is $T-$generated by pz_gq, where g runs over the elements of G, we get that $\langle p \rangle_T \langle q \rangle_T \subseteq \Gamma$ and the result follows by definition of verbally prime.

Now suppose that A is not verbally prime and let I, J be two $G-$graded $T-$ideals not contained in Γ such that $IJ \subseteq \Gamma$. Choose $p \in I - \Gamma$ and $q \in J - \Gamma$. Since

$pz_g \in I$, for every $g \in G$, we have (by our assumption) that $pz_g q \in \Gamma$. But clearly, we may assume that p is defined on a set of variables disjoint from the ones of q and z_g and so we are done. □

We can conclude

Corollary 4.2. *If Γ is strongly verbally prime, then it is verbally prime.*

Proof. Suppose not and consider p, q as in the second part of the proof of Lemma 4.1. Since $q \notin \Gamma$, $pz_g \in \Gamma$ for every $g \in G$. Similarly, since $p \notin \Gamma$, $z_g \in \Gamma$ for all $g \in G$. We get that Γ is equal to the whole free algebra and so p (and q) are in Γ. Contradiction. □

In case G is trivial it is not hard to prove (see [9], Lemma 1.3.10) that a vector space $T-$generated by a single polynomial p is in fact a Lie $T-$ideal, so any one-sided $T-$ideal must be a two-sided $T-$ideal. Hence, pz_e is in the left $T-$ideal $\langle p \rangle_T$, so $pz_e q \in \langle pq \rangle_T$. In other words $pz_e q$ is an identity if pq is such. Thus, in the ungraded case the opposite of 4.2 holds.

Unlike the ungraded case, we shall see that if the group G is nontrivial then there exist algebras which are verbally prime but not strongly verbally prime. For instance, it follows easily from our classification that the algebra of $3 \times 3-$matrices over F with the elementary $\mathbb{Z}_2-$grading given by the vector (e, e, σ) where $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle \sigma \rangle$ is verbally prime but not strongly verbally prime.

Before embarking into the proof of Theorem 1.7 we need some more preparations. Let Γ be a G-graded strongly verbally prime T-ideal which contains a Capelli polynomial. By Kemer's theory for G-graded algebras (see [1]) we have that Γ is the T-ideal of identities of a G-graded finite dimensional algebra A over F. Furthermore, by the previous section and the fact that strongly verbally primeness implies verbally primeness we may assume the algebra A is G-simple. Our goal in the first part of the proof is to find necessary conditions on presentations of *the* G-simple algebra A so that $Id_G(A)$ is G-strongly verbally prime.

The word *the* in the last sentence needs justification. It is trivial to construct nonisomorphic (finite dimensional) algebras which are PI equivalent. On the other hand, PI equivalent finite dimensional simple algebras over an algebraically closed field F are $F-$isomorphic by e.g. the Amitsur-Levitzki theorem. This is true also for finite dimensional G-simple algebras over F. Indeed, it was proved by Koshlukov and Zaicev that if finite dimensional G-simple algebras A and B, G abelian, are G-graded PI equivalent, then they are G-graded isomorphic [12]. This result was extended to arbitrary groups by the first named author of this article and Haile [3]. In particular, note that if A is G -graded verbally prime, the minimal algebra B with $Id_G(B) = Id_G(A)$ is uniquely determined up to a G-graded isomorphism.

As mentioned in the introduction, the G-graded structure of a finite dimensional G-simple algebra is presented by a triple $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$. We recall that a G-graded algebra (G-simple) may admit different presentations, that is algebras with presentations $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$ and $(H', [c'], \mathfrak{g}')$ (different parameters) may be G-graded isomorphic. In fact, in [3] the authors present three type of *moves* on presentations, M_1, M_2, M_3 (see below) such that

(1) $M_i((H, [c], \mathfrak{g})) \underset{G}{\simeq} (H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ (meaning $G-$isomorphic)

(2) $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})) \underset{G}{\simeq} (H', [c'], \mathfrak{g}')$ if and only if $(H', [c'], \mathfrak{g}')$ may be obtained from $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$ by composing a sequence of such moves.

For the reader convenience let us recall the moves M_1, M_2, M_3.

$M_1 : \mathfrak{g}' = (g_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, g_{\sigma(m)})$, where $\sigma \in S_m$, and $H = H', c = c'$.

$M_2 : \mathfrak{g}' = (h_1 g_1, \dots, h_m g_m)$, where $h_1, \dots, h_m \in H$, and $H = H', c = c'$.

M_3 : For $g \in G$. $\mathfrak{g}' = (gg_1, \dots, gg_m)$, $H' = gHg^{-1}$ and $c' \in Z^2(H', F^*)$ is defined by $c'(gh_1g^{-1}, gh_2g^{-1}) = c(h_1, h_2)$.

Applying these moves we obtain the following normalization on the presentation of a G-simple algebra.

Corollary 4.3. *Any finite dimensional G-simple algebra has a presentation with $\mathfrak{g} = (\underbrace{g_1, \dots, g_1}_{m_1}, \dots, \underbrace{g_k, \dots, g_k}_{m_k}) \in G^m$, where the g_i's are different representatives of right $H-$cosets, $m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_k$ and $g_1 = e$.*

Let $P_A = (H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$ be a presentation of a G-simple algebra A. Suppose the presentation is normalized as above.

We denote by $e_{r,s}^{(i,j)}$ the element

$$e_{m_0+\cdots m_{i-1}+r, m_0+\cdots m_{j-1}+s} \in M_m(F)$$

where $m_0 = 0$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, m_i\}$, $s \in \{1, \dots, m_j)$. In case $i = j$ we slightly simplify the notation and replace $e_{r,s}^{(i,i)}$ by $e_{r,s}^{(i)}$.

For a fixed i we regard the linear span of $\{u_e \otimes e_{r,s}^{(i)}\}_{r,s}$ as the ith $e-$block. It is important to note that the linear span of all $e-$blocks $(i = 1, \dots, k)$ is equal to A_e, the $e-$component of A.

With the above notation, suppose A is G-graded absolute verbally prime.

Lemma 4.4. *The following hold.*

(1) $k = [G : H]$

(2) $m_1 = m_k$ *and so* $m_1 = \cdots = m_k$

Proof. Let us show first all right H-cosets are represented in $\mathfrak{g}$. If not, it follows from Lemma 3.3 in [2] that the G-grading on A is degenerate (recall that a G-grading on an algebra A is nondegenerate if the product of homogeneous components $A_{g_1}A_{g_2}\cdots A_{g_s}$ is nonzero, for any $s > 0$ and any s-tuple $(g_1 \dots, g_s) \in G^{(s)}$; equivalently, the T-ideal of G-graded identities has no G-graded multilinear monomials). It follows that $Id_G(A)$ contains multilinear monomials. Since the grading is assumed to be connected, we have monomials of the form $x_{g_1}x_{g_2}\cdots x_{g_n} \in Id_G(A)$ where $g_i \in Supp_G(A)$ and hence $x_{g_i} \notin Id_G(A)$. Clearly, this shows that A is not G-graded strongly verbally prime and the first part of the lemma is proved.

Next, suppose by contradiction that $m_1 < m_k$ (m_i are positive integers).

For $i = 1, \dots, k$ consider the following monomial of e-variables

$$Z_i = y_0^{(i)} x_1^{(i)} y_1^{(i)} \cdots x_{m_i^2}^{(i)} y_{m_i^2}^{(i)}$$

and denote

$$Z = Z_1 w_1 Z_2 \cdots w_{k-1} Z_k,$$

where w_i is a $g_i^{-1}g_{i+1}-$graded variable. Finally let $p = \mathrm{Alt}_X Z$, where X is the set of all x variables. We refer to the y variables as *frames* and the w variables as *bridges*.

Let us show that p is a nonidentity of A. It is well known that one can find an ordering on the elementary matrices $e_{r_1,s_1}, \dots, e_{r_{m_i^2}, s_{m_i^2}}$ of $M_{m_i}(F)$ such that

(1) $r_1 = s_{m_i^2} = 1$.
(2) $s_t = r_{t+1}$ for $t = 1, \ldots, m_i^2 - 1$.

Evaluate $x_t^{(i)} := \tilde{x}_t^{(i)} = u_e \otimes e_{r_t,s_t}^{(i)}$. Notice that there is a unique evaluation of the $y_t^{(i)}$'s by e-homogeneous elements such that $\tilde{Z}_i \neq 0$, namely $\tilde{y}_t^{(i)} = u_e \otimes e_{s_t,s_t}^{(i)}$ if $t > 0$ and $\tilde{y}_0^{(i)} = \tilde{x}_1^{(i)}$ (if $t = 0$). This yields $\tilde{Z}_i = u_e \otimes e_{1,1}^{(i)}$. Finally, we set $\tilde{w}_i = u_e \otimes e_{1,1}^{(i,i+1)}$ and hence $\tilde{Z} = u_e \otimes e_{1,1}^{(1,k)}$. We claim that any nontrivial alternation of the $\tilde{x}$'s gives zero. Indeed, if the alternation sends an $\tilde{x}$ corresponding to Z_i to $\tilde{x}'$ corresponding to Z_j $(i \neq j)$, we must get zero, since the frames of Z_j are evaluated on elements of the $j-$block and Z_j does not contain bridges. Moreover, if the alternation sends some $\tilde{x}$ of Z_i to a different $\tilde{x}'$ of Z_i we also get zero, since x and x' are evaluated on different elementary matrices and the above evaluation of the frames has the property that any two adjacent frames in Z_i allow (with nonzero product) a unique elementary matrix in between. All in all, we get that $\tilde{p} = \tilde{Z} \neq 0$.

Next, we claim that $p(A) \subseteq F^c H \otimes R$, where R is spanned by $e_{r,s}^{(i,j)}$, where $m_i = m_1$ and $m_j = m_k$ (in particular $i < j$). Indeed, since p is multilinear it is enough to consider only evaluations on elements of the form $u_h \otimes e_{i,j}$. Consider such an evaluation: $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{w}$. Obviously, we may assume that $\tilde{p} \neq 0$ and so, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\tilde{Z} \neq 0$.

Note that since the $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}$ (alternating variables and frame variables) have been chosen to be homogeneous of degree e, all variables of each Z_i must be evaluated on elements of the same $e-$block. Moreover, since the x variables are alternating in p, their evaluation must consist of linearly independent elements, thus consists of a basis of A_e. Thus, the x variables of each Z_i are evaluated on a basis of some $e-$block of A_e where for $i \neq j$ the evaluation is on different e-blocks. It follows that each Z_i must be evaluated on elements of an $e-$block of dimension m_i^2. Consequently $Z(A) \subseteq F^c H \otimes R$ (evaluations of the monomial Z on A). In order to show that $p(A) \subseteq F^c H \otimes R$ we proceed as follows. Any (evaluated) monomial $\widetilde{Z'}$ resulting from a permutation of the (evaluated) x variables in Z is in fact equal to $\tilde{Z}$ after reevaluation of the x variables. The previous argument shows that $Z'(A) \subseteq F^c H \otimes R$ and hence also $p(A) \subseteq F^c H \otimes R$.

Remark 4.5. We may strengthen the last part of the proof. We claim that if the following conditions hold

(1) All variables are evaluated on elements of A of the form $u_h \otimes e_{i,j}$
(2) The variables of Z_i are homogeneous of degree e.
(3) $Z(A) \neq 0$

then for any monomial Z' in p, obtained from Z by a nontrivial permutation of the x-variables, we must have $\widetilde{Z'} = 0$. Indeed, as noted above, for any nonzero evaluation of the polynomial p (i.e. an evaluation of the x's, the y's and the w's) which yields a nonzero value of the monomial Z, we have that the value of the y's (frames) is determined by the value of the x's and vice versa. Since Z' is obtained from Z by permuting (nontrivially) the x's and keeping the y's, its value $\widetilde{Z'}$ must be zero.

Finally, since $(F^c H \otimes R)^2 = 0$ (here we use the assumption that $m_1 < m_k$), we get that $pq \in Id_G(A)$, where q is the polynomial p but with a disjoint set of variables - contradiction to A being strongly verbally prime.

□

We let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{set}} = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ be a set of representatives of the right cosets of H in G. Denote $m_{\mathbf{block}} = m_1 = \cdots = m_k$.

Proposition 4.6. *$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{set}} = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ normalizes H (i.e. H is normal in G).*

Proof. First let us define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{set}}$:

$$g_i \sim g_j \text{ if } g_i^{-1} H g_j \cap H \neq \emptyset.$$

It is easy to check that $\sim$ is indeed an equivalence relation. We denote by $[g]$ the equivalence class of $g \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{set}}$. Clearly, the equivalence relation among the g_i's determines an equivalence relation among the corresponding e-blocks. Notice that the claim of the proposition holds if and only if the equivalence classes are of cardinality 1.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_\sim = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\tilde{k}}\}$, $\tilde{k} \leq k$, be a full set of representatives of $\sim$ (taken from $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{set}}$). Changing representatives we may write

$$(\underbrace{[g_1], \dots, [g_1]}_{m_{\mathbf{block}}}, \dots, \underbrace{[g_k], \dots, [g_k]}_{m_{\mathbf{block}}}) = (\underbrace{[\gamma_1], \dots, [\gamma_1]}_{\tilde{m}_1}, \dots, \underbrace{[\gamma_{\tilde{k}}], \dots, [\gamma_{\tilde{k}}]}_{\tilde{m}_{\tilde{k}}}),$$

where $\tilde{m}_i = m_{\mathbf{block}} \cdot |[\gamma_i]|$. Moreover, since $e \in G$ normalizes H, we may assume

(1) $[\gamma_1] = [e] (= \{e\})$.
(2) $\tilde{m}_1 \leq \cdots \leq \tilde{m}_{\tilde{k}}$.

We make similar conventions to the ones preceding Lemma 4.4. Denote by $e_{r,s}^{[i,j]}$ the element $e_{\tilde{m}_0+\cdots\tilde{m}_{i-1}+r, \tilde{m}_0+\cdots\tilde{m}_{j-1}+s} \in M_m(F)$, where $\tilde{m}_0 = 0$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{m}_i\}$, $s \in \{1, \dots, \tilde{m}_j)$. We say that $u_h \otimes e_{r,s}^{[i,j]}$ is in the $[i,j]-$block. Moreover, we denote by $u_h \otimes e_{r,s}^{[i]}$ the element $u_h \otimes e_{r,s}^{[i,i]} = u_h \otimes e_{r,s}^{[g_i,g_i]}$ and call its block the $[i]-$block.

The idea of the proof is somewhat similar to the one above. We construct a "Capelli type" polynomial $p(X)$, obtained by alternating some variables of a suitable G-graded monomial Z.

For $i = 1, \dots, k$, consider the monomial of e-variables

$$Z_i = y_0^{(i)} x_1^{(i)} y_1^{(i)} \cdots x_{m_i^2}^{(i)} y_{m_i^2}^{(i)}$$

where $m_i = m_{\mathbf{block}}$ and let

$$Z = Z_1 w_1 Z_2 \cdots w_{k-1} Z_k,$$

where w_j is a $g_j^{-1} h_j g_{j+1}-$graded variable and $h_j \in H$ needs to be chosen, $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. If g_j and g_{j+1} are nonequivalent we know that $g_j^{-1} h_j g_{j+1}$ is not in H, for any $h_j \in H$ and so we take $h_j = e$. On the other hand, if g_j and g_{j+1} are equivalent, we choose $h_j = \hat{h}_j \in H$ such that $g_j^{-1} \hat{h}_j g_{j+1} \in H$. Note that by our construction $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{\tilde{m}_1/m_{\mathbf{block}}-1}$ are elements in H, $w_{\tilde{m}_1/m_{\mathbf{block}}} \notin H$, then the next $\tilde{m}_2/m_{\mathbf{block}} - 1$ variables w's are of homogeneous degrees in H, followed by $w_{\tilde{m}_1+\tilde{m}_2/m_{\mathbf{block}}}$ whose homogeneous degree is not in H and so on.

Let us introduce an equivalence relation on submonomials: We say that submonomials Z_i are equivalent if and only if they are separated by w's whose homogeneous degrees is in H. We denote the equivalent class of Z_i by $[Z_i]$. Note that we have constructed precisely $\tilde{k}$ equivalence classes. Let $p(X)$ be the polynomial obtained by alternating all x_r's variables of Z.

Lemma 4.7. *The polynomial $p(X)$ is a graded nonidentity of A. Furthermore, evaluating the polynomial p on A yields elements in $F^cH \otimes R$, where R is spanned by elements $e_{r,s}^{[i,j]}$ with $\tilde{m}_i = \tilde{m}_1$ and $\tilde{m}_j = \tilde{m}_{\tilde{k}}$.*

Using the lemma, since $[F^cH \otimes R]^2 = 0$, by taking the product of two such polynomials (as $p(X)$) with disjoint sets of variables, we see that A is not strongly verbally prime and so the proof of the proposition is complete. Note that with this we have proved the necessity of conditions 1 and 2 in Theorem 1.7.

Proof. (of the lemma) By the construction of the monomial Z we know it has a nonzero value on A. Indeed, evaluating the x's in each segment Z_i (of the monomial Z) on e-elements of the form $u_e \otimes e_{r,s}^{[i]}$, the y's (frames) on e-elements of the form $u_e \otimes e_{r,r}^{[i]}$ and finally the variables w_i (bridges), $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$, on $u_{h_i} \otimes e_{1,1}^{[i,i+1]}$ where $h_i = e$ if g_i and g_{i+1} are nonequivalent and $h_i = \hat{h}_i$ if g_i and g_{i+1} are equivalent. Other monomials of $p(X)$, obtained from Z by alternating the x's, yield a zero value since the values on the y's and the x's do not match. This shows $p(X)$ is a nonidentity of A. In order to prove the second claim of the lemma, note that by the multilinearity of $p(X)$ it is sufficient to check evaluations on basis elements of the form $u_h \otimes e_{r,s}^{[i,j]}$. We fix an evaluation of $p(X)$ and show the value is in $F^cH \otimes R$. Clearly, we may assume the value is nonzero and so we fix a monomial Z whose value is nonzero as well. In that case, by the alternation of the x's, their values must consist of the full basis of the e-homogeneous of A. Since the y's are homogeneous of degree e, their value is determined as well (by the nonvanishing of the monomial Z). As above, any monomial Z' obtained from Z by a nontrivial permutation vanishes. In order to complete the proof we must show the value of the monomial Z is in $F^cH \otimes R$. As noted above, the evaluation of the monomial Z establishes a one to one correspondence between the submonomials Z_i and e-blocks. Furthermore, equivalent classes of submonomials $[Z_i]$ must be evaluated on equivalent classes of e-blocks such that the sizes of the equivalence classes coincide. The proof of the lemma now follows easily and so the proof of Proposition 4.6 is now complete.

□

□

We pause for a moment and summarize what we have so far. We showed that if Γ is a G-graded T-ideal which contains a Cappelli set and is G-graded strongly verbally prime then it is the T-ideal of identities of a finite dimensional G-simple algebra. Of course, there may be other algebras (finite dimensional or not) whose T-ideal of G-graded identities is Γ. However, if we restrict our attention to finite dimensional G-simple algebras A whose $Id_G(A) = \Gamma$, the algebra A is uniquely determined up to a G-graded isomorphism. We know by Bahturin-Zaicev's theorem that any G-simple algebra, and in particular the algebra A, is represented by a triple $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$, where H is a subgroup of G, $[c] \in H^2(H, F^*)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_m) \in G^m$. We know the presentation $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$ of A is unique up to 3 type of "moves" M_1, M_2, M_3. We showed that the strongly verbally prime condition on A implies the group H is normal in G and H cosets of G are represented equally in $\mathfrak{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_m) \in G^m$. In particular $m/[G : H] = d$ is an integer.

The above considerations lead to the following characterization of G-simple crossed products. We recall

Definition 4.8. A G-graded algebra B is said to be a G-crossed product if B_g has an invertible element for any $g \in G$.

Note that this implies $dim_F(B_g) = dim_F(B_{g'})$ for any $g, g' \in G$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let A be a $G-$simple algebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then A is a G-crossed product if and only if A has a presentation $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$ where H is a subgroup of G (not necessarily normal) and the right H cosets of G are equally represented in $\mathfrak{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_m) \in G^m$.*

Proof. Suppose A has a presentation as above where right H-cosets are equally represented. We know the elements of the form $u_h \otimes e_{i,j} \otimes E$, where $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, [G : H]\}$, $E \in M_r(F)$ are homogeneous of degree $g_i^{-1} h g_j$ and span A. We note the homogeneous components are concentrated in *block permutation* matrices which means that for any $g \in G$ and any $i \in \{1, \ldots, [G : H]\}$ there is exist a unique $h = h(i)$ and unique $j = j(i)$ such that for every $E \in M_r(F)$ the element $u_h \otimes e_{i,j} \otimes E$ has homogeneous degree g. It follows that the element

$$\sum_{i \in \{1, \ldots, [G:H]\}} u_{h(i)} \otimes e_{i,j(i)} \otimes I_r,$$

I_r is the identity matrix of rank r, is invertible and homogeneous of degree g (indeed,

$$\sum_{i \in \{1, \ldots, [G:H]\}} c^{-1}(h(i), h(i)^{-1}) u_{h(i)^{-1}} \otimes e_{j(i),i} \otimes I_r$$

is the inverse). As noted above, in this case the dimensions of the homogeneous components of A coincide and equal $[G : H]r^2 = dim_F(A)/ \mid G \mid$.

For the converse let $r_1, \ldots, r_{[G:H]}$ be the multiplicities of the right H-cosets in $\mathfrak{g}$ and suppose are not all equal. Clearly $r_1 + \cdots + r_{[G:H]} = m$. Moreover, by definition of the G-grading on A, $dim_F(A_e) = r_1^2 + r_2^2 + \cdots + r_{[G:H]}^2$ which strictly exceeds $dim_F(A)/ \mid G \mid$. This implies the dimension of the homogeneous components are not equal and hence A is not a G-crossed product. □

In particular we have

Corollary 4.10. *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra, G-simple with presentation $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$. Suppose H is normal and multiplicities of H-coset representatives are equal (= r). Then the homogeneous components consist of block permutation matrices.*

Our next step is to put restrictions (i.e. necessary conditions) on the cohomology class $[c]$, provided the G-simple algebra A is strongly verbally prime. This will lead to a necessary condition on $[c]$ which together with the conditions on H and on $\mathfrak{g}$ mentioned above turns out to be also sufficient for strongly verbally primeness. In order to proceed we recall briefly the general terminology (and theory) which relates the cohomology group $H^2(H, F^*)$ with H-graded PI theory (see [4], [3]).

Let H be a finite, $c : H \times H \to F^*$ a 2-cocycle and $[c]$ the corresponding cohomology class. Let F^cH be the corresponding twisted group algebra and let $\{u_h\}_{h \in H}$ be a set of representatives for the H-homogeneous components in F^cH. Let n be a positive integer. For $\mathfrak{h} = (h_1, \ldots, h_n) \in H^n$ and $\sigma \in S_n$ consider

$$Z_{\mathfrak{h},\sigma} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i.h_i} - \underbrace{\frac{c(\mathfrak{h})}{c(\mathfrak{h}_\sigma)}}_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{h},\sigma}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{\sigma(i),h_{\sigma(i)}},$$

where $c(\mathfrak{h}) \in F^*$ is the element determined by $u_{h_1} \cdots u_{h_n} = c(\mathfrak{h}) u_{h_1 \cdots h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_\sigma = (h_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, h_{\sigma(n)})$. We are interested in the binomials $Z_{\mathfrak{h},\sigma}$ which are H-graded identities of F^cH. This happens precisely when

$$h_1 \cdots h_n = h_{\sigma(1)} \cdots h_{\sigma(n)}.$$

Note that if $h_1 \cdots h_n = h_{\sigma(1)} \cdots h_{\sigma(n)}$, the polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i.h_i} - \lambda \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{\sigma(i),h_{\sigma(i)}}$ is an H-graded identity if and only if $\lambda = \alpha_{\mathfrak{h},\sigma}$.

We refer to these binomials as the *binomial identities* of the twisted group algebra F^cH. It is known that the binomial identities generate the T-ideal of H-graded identities of F^cH (see [3] ,Theorem 4). Furthermore, one sees easily that the scalars $\alpha_{\mathfrak{h},\sigma}$ depend on the cohomology class $[c]$ but not on the representative c.

Next it is convenient to introduce into our discussion presentations of the group H. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the free group generated by $\Omega = \{x_{i,h} | \, i \in \mathbb{N}, h \in H\}$ and consider the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1$$

where the map $\mathcal{F} \to H$ is given via $x_{i,h} \mapsto h$. This induces the central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}/[\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{R}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}/[\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{R}] \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1 \, .$$

Consider the central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow F^* \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1$$

which corresponds to the cocycle c (i.e. $\{u_h | \, h \in H\}$ is a set of representatives in Γ and $u_{h_1} u_{h_2} = c(h_1, h_2) u_{h_1 h_2}$). The map $\psi_c : \mathcal{F}/[\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{R}] \to \Gamma$, given by $\psi_c(x_{i,h}) = u_h$, induces a map of extensions and its restriction $\psi_c : [\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}] \cap \mathfrak{R}/[\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{R}] = M(H) \to F^*$ is independent of the presentation and corresponds to $[c]$ by means of the Universal Coefficient Theorem. That is, $\Phi([c]) = \psi_c$. Here, $M(H)$ is the Schur multiplier of the group H and the equality with $[\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}] \cap \mathfrak{R}/[\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{R}]$ is the well known Hopf formula. It follows that

$$\Phi([c]) \left(\underbrace{x_{1,h_1} \cdots x_{n,h_n} (x_{\sigma(1),h_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{\sigma(n),h_{\sigma(n)}})^{-1}}_{z} \right) = u_{h_1} \cdots u_{h_n} (u_{h_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots u_{h_{\sigma(n)}})^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{c(\mathfrak{h})}{c(\mathfrak{h}_\sigma)} = \alpha_{\mathfrak{h},\sigma}$$

Now, it is clear that $z \in [\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}]$ and moreover, by the condition we imposed on $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, $z \in \mathfrak{R}$ and hence $z \in \mathfrak{R} \cap [\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F}]$. It is not difficult to show that modulo $[\mathcal{F},\mathfrak{R}]$ all elements of $M(H)$ are of this form (see [4], Lemma 11), and so, we readily see that the binomial identities of the twisted group algebra F^cH determine uniquely the cohomology class $[c]$.

Remark 4.11. Note that the map Φ we have just constructed induces the well known isomorphism (Universal coefficient theorem)

$$\Phi : H^2(H, F^*) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M(H), F^*)$$

of abelian groups (since F is assumed to be algebraically closed).

We are ready to prove the necessity (for A to be strongly verbally prime) of third condition in Theorem 1.7, namely the cohomology class $[c]$ is G-invariant.

Recall that G acts on $H^2(H,F^*)$ via conjugation, that is for $[\beta]\in H^2(H,F^*)$

$$(g\cdot\beta)(h_1,h_2)=\beta(gh_1g^{-1},gh_2g^{-1}).$$

Applying the isomorphism Φ one checks the cohomology class $[c]$ is $G-$invariant if and only if

$$\forall g\in G:\ \alpha_{\mathfrak{h},\sigma}=\alpha_{g\mathfrak{h}g^{-1},\sigma}, \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\alpha_{\mathfrak{h},\sigma}$ corresponding to a binomial identity $Z_{\mathfrak{h},\sigma}$.

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose A is strongly verbally prime with presentation $(H,[c],\mathfrak{g})$, $\mathfrak{g}=((g_1,\dots,g_1),(g_2,\dots,g_2),\dots,(g_k,\dots,g_k))\in(G^{m_{block}})^k\simeq G^m$. Then the class $[c]$ is invariant under the action of G, i.e. 4.1 holds.*

Proof. First note that since 4.1 holds for $g\in H$ (indeed, it is well known that the inner action of H induces a trivial action on $H^2(H,F^*)$), it is sufficient to check the invariance of $[c]$ for the action of elements from $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{set}}$ (a full set of H-coset representatives in G).

Suppose this is not the case. Note, that by using elementary moves on the presentation of A we may assume the tuple $\mathfrak{g}$ is ordered so that

(1) Equal cosets representatives are adjacent.
(2) Elements g_i which normalize the cohomology class $[c]$ "stand on the left of the tuple" whereas g_j's which do not normalize "stand on the right", that is there is $1\leq t_0<k$ such that g_j normalizes $[c]$ if $1\leq j\leq t_0$ and g_j does not normalize $[c]$ if $t_0<j\leq k$.

Note that since e normalizes $[c]$ we may assume $g_1=e$ whereas (by our ordering) g_k does not normalize $[c]$.

Now, we know that the set of Binomial Identities of $F^\gamma H$ determines the cohomology class γ (indeed, the Binomial identities generate the T-ideal of graded identities and the latter determines γ). Moreover, if α and γ are *different* cohomology classes of $H^2(H,F^*)$, we have $Id_H(F^\gamma H)\nsubseteq Id_H(F^\alpha H)$. Let us fix for any such pair (α,γ) a binomial polynomial $B(\bar{\alpha},\gamma)$ which is an H-graded identity of $F^\gamma H$ but a nonidentity of $F^\alpha H$. Furthermore, we denote by $R(\beta)=\prod_{\gamma\neq\beta}(B(\bar{\beta},\gamma)))$ where the binomial polynomials are taken with disjoint sets of variables implying $R(\beta)$ is multilinear. Note that since any twisted group algebra is a H-graded division algebra the polynomial $R(\beta)$ is a nonidentity of $F^\beta H$ but an H-identity of $F^\gamma H$ for any $\gamma\neq\beta$.

For $i=1,\dots,k$, consider the monomial of e-variables

$$Z_i=y_0^{(i)}x_1^{(i)}y_1^{(i)}\cdots x_{m_i^2}^{(i)}y_{m_i^2}^{(i)}$$

where $m_i=m_{\mathbf{block}}$ and denote

$$Z=Z_1w_1Z_2\cdots w_{k-1}Z_k,$$

where w_i is a $g_i^{-1}h_ig_{i+1}-$graded variable, where $h_i\in H$ needs to be chosen (in fact any element of H will do). For $i=1,\dots,k$, we insert in Z the polynomial $R(g_i([c]))$ on the right of Z_i. We denote

$$\hat{Z}=Z_1R(g_1([c]))w_1Z_2R(g_2([c]))\cdots w_{k-1}Z_kR(g_k([c])).$$

Finally, we denote by Θ the multilinear polynomial obtained by alternating the set of e-variables $X = \{x_j^{(i)}\}$ in $\hat{Z}$. We claim

(1) Θ is a G-graded nonidentity of A.
(2) All evaluations of the G-graded polynomial Θ on A yield elements in $\hat{R} = span\{F^{[c]}H \otimes e_{r,s}^{(i,j)}\}$ where (i,j) satisfies the following condition: $g_1^{-1}g_i$ and $g_k^{-1}g_j$ normalize the cohomology class $[c]$. Note that this is equivalent to $R(g_i([c])) = R(g_1([c]))$ and $R(g_j([c])) = R(g_k([c]))$.
(3) $\hat{R}^2 = 0$.

Note that the lemma follows at once from the claim. Indeed, we duplicate the polynomial Θ with different variables and denote them by Θ_1 and Θ_2. They are G-graded nonidentities but their product is an identity.

To see the first part of the claim consider the evaluation of the monomials Z_i, $i = 1, \ldots, k$ on the ith e-block of A. We evaluate the polynomial $R(g_i([c])$ on the same e-block of A (the ith block). Note that by construction, its value is nonzero. Finally, any nontrivial permutation of the variables of X yields a zero value (the values of $\{y_j^i\}$ and $\{x_j^i\}$ do not match). We have exhibited a nonzero evaluation of Θ on A.

For the second part of the claim note that by the alternation the elements of X must be evaluated precisely on all e-elements of A as long as the value is nonzero. Moreover, since the variables y_j^i are also e-variables, the elements of Z_i (and in particular the x's variables of Z_i) must be evaluated on the same e-block of A, again as long as the evaluation is nonzero. But the presence of the polynomials $R(g_j([c]))$ in Θ implies the evaluation of Θ on A vanishes unless the monomial Z_j, any j, is evaluated on a e-block whose index is $\hat{j}$ for which $g_{\hat{j}}([c]) = g_j([c])$. In particular the monomials Z_1 and Z_k must be evaluated on e-blocks indexed by $\hat{1}$th and $\hat{k}$th respectively. This proves part 2 of the claim. The 3rd part of the claim follows from the fact that $\hat{1} < \hat{k}$. This completes the proof of the claim and hence of the lemma.

□

In order to complete the proof of Theorem we need to show that if A is a finite dimensional $G-$simple algebra, with presentation $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$, where

(1) H is normal,
(2) $\mathfrak{g} = ((g_1, \ldots, g_1), (g_2, \ldots, g_2), \ldots, (g_k, \ldots, g_k)) \in (G^{m_{block}})^k \simeq G^m$
(3) $[c] \in H^2(H, F^*)$ is G invariant

then A is strongly verbally prime.

For the proof we shall replace the 3rd condition with an apparently stronger condition $3'$ which we call the *path* property. After introducing that property, we will show firstly that $1+2+3'$ is sufficient for strongly verbally prime and secondly that conditions $1+2+3$ and $1+2+3'$ are equivalent. For future reference let us state the following

Theorem 4.13. *Let A be a finite dimensional G-simple algebra over F with presentation $P_A = (H, \alpha, \mathfrak{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_k))$. Then condition $1+2+3$ (Theorem 1.7) is equivalent to $1+2+3'$ below.*

(1) *The group H is normal in G.*

(2) *The different cosets of H in G are represented exactly the same number of times in the k-tuple $\mathfrak{g} = (g_1, \ldots, g_k)$. In particular the integer k is a multiple of $[G : H]$.*

(3') *The path property.*

Let us introduce the notation we need.

Definition 4.14. (Good permutations and pure polynomials)

For $g \in G$ denote by $\overline{g}$ its image G/H. We say that the $G-$graded multilinear monomial $Z_\sigma = x_{\sigma(1),t_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{\sigma(n),t_{\sigma(n)}}$ is a *good permutation* of $Z = x_{1,t_1} \cdots x_{n,t_n}$ if

(1) $(\deg Z =) t_1 \cdots t_n = t_{\sigma(1)} \cdots t_{\sigma(n)} (= \deg Z_\sigma)$.

(2) For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\overline{t_1 \cdots t_i} = \overline{t_{\sigma(1)} \cdots t_{\sigma(\sigma^{-1}(i))=i}}$.

A G-graded multilinear polynomial is said to be *pure* if all its monomials are *good permutations* of each other.

Note 4.15. (1) *Good permutation on monomials is an equivalence relation.*

(2) *If all the variables degrees are in H, then the second condition says nothing. In that case good permutation reduces to Z, Z_σ having the same G-degree.*

Our first step is to show that it is sufficient to consider *pure* polynomials when analyzing the T-ideal of G-graded identities of A. We assume the algebra A is $G-$simple, with presentation $(H, [c], \mathfrak{g})$, where H is normal and
$\mathfrak{g} = ((g_1, \ldots, g_1), (g_2, \ldots, g_2), \ldots, (g_k, \ldots, g_k)) \in (G^{m_{block}})^k \simeq G^m$.

Lemma 4.16. *Let $p = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \alpha_\sigma Z_\sigma$ be a multilinear G-graded polynomial. Let $p = p_1 + \ldots + p_q$ be the decomposition of p into its pure components. Then, p is a G-graded identity of A if (and only if) p_i is a G-graded identity of A for any $i = 1, \ldots, q$.*

Proof. Since G-homogeneous components are linearly independent subspaces of A we may restrict our attention to monomials which have the same homogeneous degree so the first condition in the definition of *good permutation* is satisfied. We therefore let all monomials of p are homogeneous of degree g. Since the polynomial p is multilinear we can restrict evaluations to a spanning set of A and in particular to elements of the form $b_{h,\{i,j\},E} = u_h \otimes e_{i,j} \otimes E$, where $h \in H$, $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, [G : H]\}$, $E \in M_r(F)$. Note that the homogeneous degree of $b_{h,\{i,j\},E}$ is determined by $u_h \otimes e_{i,j}$, namely $g_i^{-1} h g_j$, as the degree of E is trivial. Let x_g be a variable of degree g. By our assumption, its admissible evaluations are elements $b_{h,\{i,j\},E}$ where $g = g_i^{-1} h g_j$. The following observations are important.

(1) For any $i \in \{1, \ldots, [G : H]\}$ there exist h and j such that $g = g_i^{-1} h g_j$.

(2) $h = h(i)$ and $j = j(i)$ are uniquely determined.

This follows easily from the fact that $\{g_j\}$ is a full set of H-cosets representatives and $g_i g \in \cup_j H g_j$.

Let $Z = x_{t_1} x_{t_2} \cdots x_{t_n}$. Following the above observations we choose any index $i \in \{1, \ldots, [G : H]\}$. This determines $h = h(i)$ and $j = j(i)$ so that the degree of $b_{h(i),\{i,j(i)\},E}$ is t_1, any $E \in M_r(F)$. It follows that if we insist on nonzero evaluations of the monomial Z, the evaluation of x_{t_2} must be of the form $b_{h',\{j(i),j'\},E'}$. We see that the choice of $i = i_1$ (for the evaluation of x_{t_1}) determines uniquely the triples $(i_1, j_1, h_1), \ldots, (i_n, j_n, h_n)$. Note on the other hand that a monomial Z as above indeed admits nonzero evaluations with elements $b_1, \ldots, b_n$

where $b_\nu = b_{h_\nu,\{i_\nu,j_\nu\},E_\nu}$ for any choice $i_1 \in \{1,\dots,[G:H]\}$ (for instance any evaluation of the form where E_t is invertible will do).

Claim: Let $Z = x_{1,t_1}x_{2,t_2}\cdots x_{n,t_n}$ be a G-graded monomial as above and let

$$(i_1,j_1,h_1),\dots,(i_n,j_n,h_n)$$

be n-triples such that the evaluation of Z with $x_{t_\nu} \leftarrow b_\nu = b_{h_\nu,\{i_\nu,j_\nu\},E_\nu}$, $\nu = 1,\dots,n$, is nonzero. Let $Z_\sigma = x_{\sigma(1),t_\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2),t_\sigma(2)}\cdots x_{\sigma(n),t_\sigma(n)}$ be a monomial obtained by permuting the variables of Z with $\sigma \in S_n$. Suppose the total G-degrees of Z and Z_σ coincide. Suppose also the evaluation above satisfies the following

(1) The evaluation does not annihilates Z_σ
(2) $i_{\sigma(1)} = i_1$

Then Z and Z_σ are *good permutations* of each other. Consequently, $j_{\sigma(n)} = j_n$ and $e_{i_{\sigma(1)},j_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots e_{i_{\sigma(n)},j_{\sigma(n)}} = e_{i_1,j_1}\cdots e_{i_n,j_n}$.

Let us complete the proof of the lemma using the claim. Suppose $p_1(x_{t_1},x_{t_2}\cdots x_{t_n})$ is a nonidentity. We need to show $p(x_{t_1},x_{t_2}\cdots x_{t_n})$ is a nonindentity. Let $x_{t_\nu} \leftarrow b_\nu = b_{h_\nu,\{i_\nu,j_\nu\},E_\nu}$, $\nu = 1,\dots,n$ be a nonzero evaluation of p_1. The values of p_1 are contained in one block, say block $(i_0,j(i_0))$ of the block permutation matrix which corresponds to $g \in G$. On the other hand, by the claim, the evaluations on p_s, $s > 1$ are contained in blocks $(i,j(i))$, with $i \neq i_o$. This shows the evaluation of p is nonzero and we are done.

Proof. (claim)

Our setup implies the following:

(1) $j_1 = i_2, j_2 = i_3, \dots, j_{n-1} = i_n$
(2) $j_{\sigma(1)} = i_{\sigma(2)}, j_{\sigma(2)} = i_{\sigma(3)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(n-1)} = i_{\sigma(n)}$
(3) $i_1 = i_{\sigma(1)}$, $j_n = j_{\sigma(n)}$
(4) $t_1 = g_{i_1}^{-1}h_{i_1}g_{j_1}, t_2 = g_{i_2}^{-1}h_{i_2}g_{j_2}, \dots, t_n = g_{i_n}^{-1}h_{i_n}g_{j_n}$

Since we are assuming the monomials Z and Z_σ have the same homogeneous degree in G, in order to prove the *good permutation* property we need to show the following condition holds.

If $\sigma(l) = k$ then the values of the products of elements in G

$$g_{i_1}^{-1}h_{i_1}g_{j_1}\cdot g_{i_2}^{-1}h_{i_2}g_{j_2}\cdots g_{i_{k-1}}^{-1}h_{i_{k-1}}g_{j_{k-1}}$$

and

$$g_{i_{\sigma(1)}}^{-1}h_{i_{\sigma(1)}}g_{j_{\sigma(1)}}\cdot g_{i_{\sigma(2)}}^{-1}h_{i_{\sigma(2)}}g_{j_{\sigma(2)}}\cdots g_{i_{\sigma(l-1)}}^{-1}h_{i_{\sigma(l-1)}}g_{j_{\sigma(l-1)}}$$

coincide in G/H.

Indeed, ignoring the elements of H and invoking the 1st and 2nd sets of equalities it is sufficient to show

$$g_{i_1}^{-1}g_{j_{k-1}} = g_{i_{\sigma(1)}}^{-1}g_{j_{\sigma(l-1)}}.$$

But $g_{i_1}^{-1} = g_{i_{\sigma(1)}}^{-1}$ by (3) and so it remains to show $g_{j_{k-1}} = g_{j_{\sigma(l-1)}}$. By $\sigma(l) = k$, (1) and (2) above we have $g_{j_{k-1}} = g_{i_k} = g_{i_{\sigma(l)}} = g_{j_{\sigma(l-1)}}$ and we are done. This proves the claim and completes the proof of the lemma. □

□

We can now introduce the *path* property.

As usual we let A be a G-simple algebra with presentation $(H,[c],\mathfrak{g})$, where H is normal and $\mathfrak{g}=((g_1,\dots,g_1),(g_2,\dots,g_2),\dots,(g_k,\dots,g_k))\in(G^{m_{block}})^k\simeq G^m$. Let $p(x_{t_1},\dots,x_{t_n})$ be a G-graded *pure* polynomial. Write

$$p(x_{t_1},\dots,x_{t_n})=Z+\sum_{e\neq\sigma\in\Lambda(Z)}\alpha_\sigma Z_\sigma$$

where $Z=x_{t_1}\cdots x_{t_n}$ and $\Lambda(Z)=\{\sigma\in S_n:\sigma \textit{ good permutation of } Z\}$.

Definition 4.17. *Path* property. Notation as in lemma 4.16.

(1) We say the polynomial $p(x_{t_1},\dots,x_{t_n})$ satisfies the *path* property if the following condition holds: If p vanishes whenever the evaluation of x_{t_1} has the form $u_{h(i_1)}\otimes e_{i_1,j(i_1)}\otimes E$ for some index $i_1\in\{1,\dots,[G:H]\}$ and $E\in M_r(F)$, then p is a G-graded identity of A.
(2) We say the G-simple algebra A satisfies the *path* property if any *pure* polynomial satisfies the *path* property.

Roughly speaking, the condition says that if p is annihilated by choosing an "evaluation path", then it vanishes for all $[G:H]$ paths and hence it is an identity.

Proposition 4.18. *Let A be a G-simple algebra with presentation $(H,[c],\mathfrak{g})$ as above (i.e. H is normal and $\mathfrak{g}=((g_1,\dots,g_1),(g_2,\dots,g_2),\dots,(g_k,\dots,g_k))\in(G^{m_{block}})^k\simeq G^m$.) Then A is strongly verbally prime if and only if satisfies the path property.*

Proof. Suppose the *path* property does not hold. Then there exists a g-homogeneous *pure* polynomial p which vanishes on all evaluations arising from one path, say $i_1\in\{1,\dots,[G:H]\}$ but is a nonidentity of A. For any $j\in\{1,\dots,[G:H]\}$ there exists a suitable *pure* polynomial p_j obtained by changing the scalars coefficients of p (depending on the evaluation path j) such that p_j is clearly a nonidentitity and vanishes on evaluations corresponding to the jth path (see remark below). Taking disjoint variables on different p_j's we see that the product $p_1\cdots p_{[G:H]}$ vanishes on all paths and hence is an identity whereas p_j, $j=1,\dots,[G:H]$, is a nonidentity. This shows A is not strongly verbally prime.

Remark 4.19. Since we don't really need the above direction details are omitted.

For the converse suppose A satisfies the *path* property. We let p and q be multilinear G-graded homogeneous polynomial nonidentities of A with disjoint sets of variables. We need to show $p\cdot q$ is a nonidentity.

Claim 4.20. *We may assume p and q are pure polynomials*

Proof. To see this, let $p=p_1+\cdots+p_r$ and $q=q_1+\cdots+q_s$ be the decomposition of p and q into its *pure* components. Observe that since p and q are defined on disjoint sets of variables, the decomposition $pq=\sum_{i,j}p_i\cdot q_j$ is precisely the decomposition of pq into its *pure* components. Now, suppose the sufficiency in the proposition holds for *pure* polynomials and let p and q be multilinear homogeneous nonidentities. We show pq is a nonidentity of A. But by Lemma 4.16 this reduces to *pure* polynomials and claim is proved.

□

So let p and q be multilinear, nonidentities *pure* polynomials where $p(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\sum_{\sigma\in\Delta_p}\alpha_\sigma Z_\sigma$ and $q(y_1,\dots,y_m)=\sum_{\tau\in\Delta_q}\beta_\tau W_\tau$. Choose $i\in\{1,\dots,[G:H]\}$ and consider evaluations of p of the form $u_h\otimes e_{k,s}\otimes E$ corresponding to the path determined by i. Since the monomials of p are *good permutations* of each other, for any $\sigma\in\Delta_p$ there is a scalar $\gamma_\sigma\in F^*$ such that all evaluations corresponding to the ith path annihilate p if and only if the ungraded polynomial $p^i=\sum_{\sigma\in\Delta_p}\gamma_\sigma\alpha_\sigma Z_\sigma$ is a polynomial identity of $M_r(F)$. Now, we are assuming the algebra A satisfies the *path* property and hence, since p is a nonidentity, for any path, say the path determined by $i\in\{1,\dots,[G:H]\}$, there exists an evaluations of the form $u_h\otimes e_{k,s}\otimes E$ which does not annihilate $p=\sum_\sigma\alpha_\sigma Z_\sigma$ and hence p^i is a nonidentity of $M_r(F)$. In order to show pq is a nonidentity we choose an evaluation of q which corresponds to the path $j=j(i)$ determined by the chosen path for p and let q^j be the corresponding polynomial. It is here that we use the *path* property of A. We obtain the product pq is a graded identity if and only if p^iq^j is an ungraded identity of $M_r(F)$. Since p^i and q^j are nonidentities and $M_r(F)$ is verbally prime, the result follows. □

In order to complete the proof the of Theorem 1.7, it remains to show that the conditions $1+2+3$ and $1+2+3'$ (see paragraph before Definition 4.14) are equivalent. In fact, since we have seen already that a finite dimensional G-simple algebra A which satisfies the condition $1+2+3'$ is strongly verbally prime, it remains to show $1+2+3$ implies $1+2+3'$.

At first, we will consider a simpler case, namely we will assume $A=F^cH\otimes M_{[G/H]}(F)$, where H is normal in G, β is a G invariant cocycle and $[G/H]$ stands for a transversal of H in G containing e (i.e. each element of $[G/H]$ appears exactly once in $\mathfrak{g}$, the tuple which provides the elementary grading on A). We need to show condition $3'$ holds.

Claim: It is sufficient to show the following

Proposition 4.21. *Notation as above. For every $Z=x_{g_1}\cdots x_{g_n}$ and every good permutation $\sigma\in S_n$, there is a scalar $s\in F^\times$ such that $Z-sZ_\sigma\in Id_G(A)$ ($Z_\sigma=x_{g_{\sigma(1)}}\cdots x_{g_{\sigma(n)}}$).*

Acknowledgment 4.22. *We are thankful to Darrell Haile for his contribution to the proof of this important proposition.*

Let us prove condition $3'$ assuming the proposition. Let $p(x_{g_1},\dots,x_{g_n})=\sum_{\sigma\Lambda}\beta_\sigma Z_\sigma$ be a *pure* polynomial. Note that β_σ may be zero. We need to show that if p vanishes on one path, it vanishes on every path and hence it is a G-graded identity. Clearly, we may assume $\beta_e=1$. We proceed by induction on the number k_0 of nonzero coefficients β_σ in p and so by way of contradiction we assume p is a minimal counter example. Note that $k_0>1$ since any multilinear monomial does not vanish on any path. Suppose $k_0\geq 3$ and let $p=Z+\beta_{\sigma_1}Z_{\sigma_1}+\beta_{\sigma_2}Z_{\sigma_2}+\sum_{\tau\in\Lambda\setminus\{e,\sigma_1,\sigma_2\}}\beta_\tau Z_\tau$, $\beta_{\sigma_1},\beta_{\sigma_2}\neq 0$. Let $s=s_{\sigma_1}$ be such that $Z-sZ_\sigma$ is a G-graded identity. It follows that $(\beta_{\sigma_1}-s)Z_{\sigma_1}+\sum_{\tau\in\Lambda\setminus\{e,\sigma_1,\sigma_2\}}\beta_\tau Z_\tau$ doesn't satisfy the *path* property. Contradiction. So we need to show the path property is satisfied by *pure* polynomials of the form $p=Z+\beta_\sigma Z_\sigma$ where $\beta_\sigma\neq 0$. But again, if $\beta_\sigma\neq s$, p does not vanish on any evaluation path and the claim follows.

Proof. (of Proposition)

Write $\hat{g}(i)$ for $[g_1 \cdots g_i]$ and $\hat{g}(0) = e$. Here $[g] \in [G/H]$ is the corresponding element to $g \in G$.

Let $Z = x_{g_1} \cdots x_{g_n}$ and $Z = x_{g_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots x_{g_{\sigma(n)}}$ be *good permutations* of each other. Then $g_{\sigma(1)} \cdots g_{\sigma(n)} = g_1 \cdots g_n$ and

$$\hat{g}(\sigma(i)) = \widehat{g_\sigma}(i) := [g_{\sigma(1)} \cdots g_{\sigma(i)}] \tag{4.2}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. By setting $\sigma(0) = 0$ we get equivalently

$$\hat{g}(\sigma(i) - 1) = \widehat{g_\sigma}(i - 1) \tag{4.3}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Fix $t \in [G/H]$. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we evaluate x_{g_i} by $u_{[t\hat{g}(i-1)]g_i[t\hat{g}(i)]^{-1}} \otimes e_{[t\hat{g}(i-1)],[t\hat{g}(i)]}$ and obtain that Z, under this substitution, is equal to

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} u_{[t\hat{g}(i-1)]g_i[t\hat{g}(i)]^{-1}} \right) \otimes e_{t,[t\hat{g}(n)]}.$$

Next, let $\alpha_{i,t} \in H$ $(i = 0, \ldots, n)$ be such that

$$[t\hat{g}(i)] = \alpha_{i,t} t [\hat{g}(i)].$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} h_{i,t} := [t\hat{g}(i-1)]g_i[t\hat{g}(i)]^{-1} = \\ = \alpha_{i-1,t} \cdot t \cdot \underbrace{\left([\hat{g}(i-1)]g_i[\hat{g}(i)]^{-1}\right)}_{f_i \in H} \cdot t^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{i,t}^{-1} = \alpha_{i-1,t} f_i^t \alpha_{i,t}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $\alpha_{0,t} = e$ and $\alpha_{\sigma(n),t} = [t\hat{g}(\sigma(n)][\hat{g}(\sigma(n)]^{-1}t^{-1} = [t\hat{g}(n)][\hat{g}(n)]^{-1}t^{-1} = \alpha_{n,t}$.

Lemma 4.23. *Every f_i is independent of t and we have the equality*

$$f_1^t \cdots f_n^t = f_{\sigma(1)}^t \cdots f_{\sigma(n)}^t.$$

Proof. By 4.2 and 4.3,

$$\hat{g}(\sigma(i-1)) = \widehat{g_\sigma}(i-1) = \hat{g}(\sigma(i) - 1)$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\sigma(1)}^t \cdots f_{\sigma(n)}^t &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\hat{g}(\sigma(i)-1)] g_{\sigma(i)} [\hat{g}(\sigma(i))]^{-1} \right)^t \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\hat{g}(\sigma(i-1))] g_{\sigma(i)} [\hat{g}(\sigma(i))]^{-1} \right)^t = \left(g_{\sigma(1)} \cdots g_{\sigma(n)} \cdot \hat{g}(n)^{-1} \right)^t \\ &= (g_1 \cdots g_n \cdot \hat{g}(n))^t = f_1^t \cdots f_n^t. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.24. *For $i = 1, \ldots, n$:*

$$\alpha_{\sigma(i)-1,t} = \alpha_{\sigma(i-1),t} \tag{4.5}$$

As a result,

$$h_{\sigma(i),t} = \alpha_{\sigma(i-1),t} f_{\sigma(i)}^t \alpha_{\sigma(i),t}^{-1} \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. We saw in the previous proof that $\hat{g}(\sigma(i-1)) = \hat{g}(\sigma(i)-1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus,

$$\alpha_{\sigma(i-1),t} = [t\bar{g}(\sigma(i-1))][\bar{g}(\sigma(i-1))]^{-1}t^{-1} = [t\bar{g}(\sigma(i)-1)][\bar{g}(\sigma(i)-1)]^{-1}t^{-1} = \alpha_{\sigma(i)-1,t}.$$

Thus,

$$h_{\sigma(i),t} = \alpha_{\sigma(i)-1,t} f^t_{\sigma(i)} \alpha^{-1}_{\sigma(i),t} = \alpha_{\sigma(i-1),t} f^t_{\sigma(i)} \alpha^{-1}_{\sigma(i),t}.$$

□

Next, for $i = 1, \dots, n$:

$$u_{h_{i,t}} = u_{\alpha_{i-1,t} f^t_i \alpha^{-1}_{i,t}} = \frac{1}{c(\alpha_{i-1,t}, f^t_i) c(\alpha_{i-1,t} f^t_i, \alpha^{-1}_{i,t})} \cdot u_{\alpha_{i-1,t}} \cdot u_{f^t_i} \cdot u_{\alpha^{-1}_{i,t}}.$$

As a result,

$$u_{h_{1,t}} \cdots u_{h_{n,t}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{c(\alpha_{i-1,t}, f^t_i) c(\alpha_{i-1,t} f^t_i, \alpha^{-1}_{i,t})} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} c(\alpha_{i,t}, \alpha^{-1}_{i,t}) \right) \cdot u_{f^t_1} \cdots u_{f^t_n} \cdot u_{\alpha^{-1}_{n,t}}.$$

Using 4.6 and 4.4 we compute

$$u_{\sigma(h_{1,t})} \cdots u_{\sigma(h_{n,t})} =$$

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{c(\alpha_{\sigma(i-1),t}, f^t_{\sigma|(i)}) c(\alpha_{\sigma(i-1),t} f^t_{\sigma(i)}, \alpha^{-1}_{\sigma(i),t})} \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} c(\alpha_{\sigma(i),t}, \alpha^{-1}_{\sigma(i),t}) \right) \cdot u_{f^t_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots u_{f^t_{\prime\sigma(n)}} \cdot u_{\alpha^{-1}_{n,t}}.$$

Hence, for every $t \in [G/H]$, we have

$$u_{h_{1,t}} \cdots u_{h_{n,t}} = s(f^t_1, \dots, f^t_n) \cdot u_{\sigma(h_{1,t})} \cdots u_{\sigma(h_{n,t})},$$

where $s(f^t_1, \dots, f^t_n) \in F^\times$ satisfies

$$u_{f^t_1} \cdots u_{f^t_n} = s(f^t_1, \dots, f^t_n) \cdot u_{f^t_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots u_{f^t_{\prime\sigma(n)}}.$$

However, since we assumed that $[c]$ is invariant under G, we must have that

$$s(f^t_1, \dots, f^t_n) = s(f_1, \dots, f_n).$$

This completes the proof of the proposition and hence the implication $1+2+3 \Rightarrow 1+2+3'$ in case $r = 1$. □

5. $G-$GRADED DIVISION ALGEBRAS

In this section we prove
1) Theorem 1.10.
2) $1+2+3 \Rightarrow 1+2+3'$ where r is arbitrary (Theorem 4.13).
3) Theorem 1.12.

Proof. (of 1.10) One direction is easy. Suppose such B exists. Since A and B have the same G-graded identities it is sufficient to show that products of multilinear nonidentities of B with disjoint sets of variables is a nonidentity of B. But this is clear since p and q have nonzero (invertible) evaluations on B and hence also their product pq.

We proceed now to show that such a B exists provided the algebra A is G-graded strongly verbally prime. We will show that the corresponding *generic* G-simple algebra is a G-graded division algebra.

We consider an affine relatively free G-graded algebra $U_G = F\langle X_G\rangle/Id_G(A)$ corresponding to A with $Id_G(U_G) = Id_G(A)$ (it is known that such algebra exists (see subsection 7.1, [1]). Here X_G is a finite set of G-graded variables. We claim U_G is semisimple, that is the Jacobson radical $J = J(U_G)$ is zero. Indeed, we know by Braun-Kemer-Razmyslov theorem J is nilpotent. Moreover, the Jacobson radical is G-graded (see [8]) and hence this would contradict the strongly verbally prime condition on A unless $J = 0$.

Let $Z(U)$ denote the center of U and $Z(U)_e$ the central e-homogeneous elements. Note: the center $Z(U)$ is not graded in general.

Lemma 5.1. *1) There exist e-homogeneous central polynomials, that is $Z(U)_e \neq 0$.*
2) $Z(U)_e$ has no nontrivial zero divisors as elements of U.

Proof. Both statements were proved in [10] (see Theorem 3.12, Lemma 5.4). Nevertheless, we recall the proof of the second statement since a similar argument will be used later. Let p be a central e-homogeneous nonidentity and q and arbitrary homogeneous nonidentity. Note that we are not assuming further conditions on p and q, and in particular no assumption was made on the sets of variables of p and q. We claim, we may assume that p and q are *multihomogeneous* (each variable appears in any monomial with the same multiplicity). To see this put an ordering on the variables which appear either in p or q. Counting variables multiplicities we order lexicographically the monomials in p and q respectively. Let $p = p_1 + \ldots + p_r$ and $q = q_1 + \cdots + q_s$ be the decomposition of p and q into its multihomogeneous constituents where $p_1 < \ldots < p_r$ and $q_1 < \ldots < q_s$. Suppose pq is a G-graded identity. It follows that its homogeneous constituent are G-graded identities and in particular p_1q_1 is a G-graded identity. This proves the claim.

Let $\mathfrak{V}_p$ and $\mathfrak{V}_q$ denote the set of variables appearing in p or q respectively. Let $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{V}_p \cup \mathfrak{V}_q$ and let $n = card(\mathfrak{V})$. An evaluation of p (or q) is a choice of an element in $A^n = F^d$, where $d = \dim_F(A) \times n$. Let $\mathfrak{A}_p = \{z \in A^n : p(z) \neq 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_q = \{z \in A^n : q(z) = 0\}$. Note that $\mathfrak{A}_p$ is an open nonempty (and hence dense) subset of A^n in the Zariski topology whereas $\mathfrak{B}_q$ is a closed set $\neq A^n$. Since p take values in $Z(A)_e = F$, pq being an identity is equivalent to $\mathfrak{A}_p \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_q$ which is impossible. This proves the second part of the lemma. □

Consider the central localization $\mathcal{A}_G = (Z(U)_e - \{0\})^{-1}U_G$. We obtain that $Z(\mathcal{A}_G)_e = Frac(Z(U)_e)$ is a field and we denote it by K.

Invoking [10], Corollary 4.7 (Posner's theorem for G-graded algebras), we obtain a finite dimensional algebra G-simple over K. We need to show the e-component $(\mathcal{A}_G)_e$ is an (ordinary) division algebra. Since the e-component is finite dimensional over K we need to show there are no nontrivial zero divisors in $(\mathcal{A}_G)_e$. Suppose this is not the case and let p and q be $e-$polynomials, nonidentities, whose product is zero (obtained by clearing denominators).

Lemma 5.2. *We may assume the polynomials p and q are are multihomogeneous.*

Proof. Same as above. □

Recall that $\{u_e \otimes e_{i,i} \otimes E\}_{i\in\{1,\ldots,[G:H]\},E\in M_r(F)}$ is a spanning set of the e-homogeneous component of A. We refer to $A_{e,i} = span_F\{u_e \otimes e_{i,i} \otimes E\}$ as the ith component of A_e, $i = 1, \ldots, [G : H]$.

Claim: Evaluations of any nonidentity e-polynomial on the algebra A yield nonzero values on *every* component of A_e. More precisely, if we denote by P_i the projection $A_e \to A_{e,i}$, then for any i the evaluation set of $P_i p$ on A is nonzero.

Let us complete the proof of the Theorem using the claim. We let $\mathfrak{A}_{p,i} = \{z \in A^n : P_i p(z) \neq 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{B}_{q,i} = \{z \in A^n : P_i q(z) = 0\}$. As $\mathfrak{A}_{p,i}$ is nonempty and open, $\mathfrak{B}_{q,i} \neq A^n$ and closed, we have $\mathfrak{A}_{p,i} \nsubseteq \mathfrak{B}_{q,i}$. This shows $P_i pq = P_i p \cdot P_i q : A^n \to M_r(F)$ is a nonzero polynomial function and we are done.

We turn now to the proof of the claim. We'll see that basically the claim follows from the path property which is known to hold for A by Proposition 4.18.

Suppose first p is e-homogeneous and pure. We know that if p does not vanish on a certain path, say the i-th path, it does not vanish on any path $j \in \{1, \ldots, [G : H]\}$. Furthermore, we saw that different paths yields values on different diagonal blocks and so the claim is clear in this case. Suppose now p is multilinear and let $p = p_1 + \ldots + p_q$ be the decomposition of p into its pure components. Applying the claim in the proof of Lemma 4.16 we know that if a monomial Z does not vanish on certain path evaluation then the evaluation of any other monomial Z_σ (obtained from Z by $\sigma \in S_n$) either is a good permutation of Z (and hence Z and Z_σ are constituents of the same pure polynomial) or else Z and Z_σ get values on different blocks (this includes the case the evaluation annihilates Z_σ). This establishes the claim in case p is multilinear. Finally, we suppose p is multihomogeneous and proceed by induction on its multihomogeneous degree.

Suppose $p(x_1, x_2 \ldots, x_n)$ is multihomogeneous where variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ appear in degree $k_1, \ldots, k_n$ respectively. Without loss of generality we may assume $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \cdots \geq k_n$. Clearly we may view the tuple $(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ as a partition of $m = \sum_s k_s$. Put $x_1 = t_1 + \cdots + t_{k_1}$ and let

$$\bar{p}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k_1}, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = p((t_1 + \ldots + t_{k_1}), x_2, \ldots, x_n).$$

Since $P_i p(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$, we have that $P_i \bar{p}(t_1, \ldots, t_k, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ and hence also $P_i \bar{p}(t_j, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$, for $j = 1, \ldots, k_1$. It follows that

$$P_i(\bar{p}(t_1, \ldots, t_{k_1}, x_2, \ldots, x_n) - \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} p(t_j, x_2, \ldots, x_n)) = 0$$

where $\bar{p}(t_1, \ldots, t_k, x_2, \ldots, x_n) - \sum_j p(t_j, x_2, \ldots, x_n))$ is a sum of multihomogeneous polynomials of degree m whose multihomogeneous degrees are strictly smaller than $(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$. The proof is completed by induction.

Thus, we have proved the algebra $\mathcal{A}_G = (Z(U)_e - \{0\})^{-1} U_G$ is a finite dimensional G-graded division algebra over the field $K = Z(\mathcal{A}_G)_e$. It remains to show the algebra $\mathcal{A}_G$ is a twisted form of A. But this is clear since by construction $Id_G(\mathcal{A}_G) = Id_G(A) = \Gamma$ and finite dimensional G-graded simple algebras over an algebraically closed field are determined up to a G-graded isomorphism by the T-ideal of G-graded identities.

□

We still owe the reader the proof of the implication $1 + 2 + 3 \Rightarrow 1 + 2 + 3'$ in the general case, namely in case $A = F^c H \otimes M_{[G/H]}(F) \otimes M_r(F)$, $r \geq 1$. Interestingly, we complete the proof of that implication ($2 \to 3$ below) applying Theorem 1.10. For convenience, we summarize our main results in the following Theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\Gamma \subset F\langle X_G\rangle$ be a G-graded T-ideal which contains a Capelli polynomial. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *Γ is strongly verbally prime.*
(2) *There exists a finite dimensional algebra G-simple algebra $A = F^cH \otimes M_{[G/H]}(F) \otimes M_r(F)$ which satisfies conditions $1+2+3$ of Theorem 1.7 such that $Id_G(A) = \Gamma$.*
(3) *There exists a finite dimensional algebra G-simple algebra $A = F^cH \otimes M_{[G/H]}(F) \otimes M_r(F)$ which satisfies conditions $1+2+3'$ of Theorem 4.13 such that $Id_G(A) = \Gamma$.*
(4) *There exists a field K and a finite dimensional G-graded division algebra B over K with $Id_G(B) = \Gamma$.*

Furthermore

(1) *The G-simple algebra A in (2) and (3) is uniquely determined.*
(2) *The finite dimensional G-graded division algebra B (in 3) is uniquely determined in the following sense: Any two such algebras are twisted forms of each other, that is, if B' is a finite dimensional G-graded division algebra over K' with $Id_G(B') = \Gamma$, then there exists a field extension E of K and K' such that $B \otimes_K E \cong B' \otimes_{K'} E$ as G-graded algebras.*

Proof. Note that we have shown that $1 \to 2$ in the first part of section 4 (Lemma 4.4, Proposition 4.6 and Lemma 4.12). The equivalence $3 \leftrightarrow 1$ is stated and proved in Proposition 4.18. Above in this section, we showed $1 \leftrightarrow 4$ and in last part of section 4 (Proposition 4.21) we showed $2 \to 3$ in case $r = 1$. Let $A = F^cH \otimes M_{[G/H]}(F) \otimes M_r(F)$ and suppose it satisfies conditions $1+2+3$ of Theorem 1.7. Clearly, the proof will be completed if we show that A admits a twisted form which is a G-graded division algebra. Consider the algebra $A_0 = F^cH \otimes M_{[G/H]}(F)$. Clearly it satisfies $1+2+3$ of Theorem 1.7 and since here $r = 1$ it admits a finite dimensional G-graded twisted form B_0 over a field K_0 which is a G-division algebra. All we need to do now is to find a field K and G-graded division algebra B over some field K which is a twisted form of A. Since B_0 is a G-graded division algebra over K_0 and since its e-component is commutative, we have that $L_0 = (B_0)_e$ is a finite field extension of K_0. Clearly, we may extend scalars to $K_0(t,s)$ (the rational function field over K_0 on ideterminates t and s) and obtain a G-graded division algebra $(B_0)_{K_0(t,s)}$ which is also a twisted form of A_0. It remains to prove there exists an ungraded division algebra D of index r over $K_0(t,s)$ which remains a division algebra after extending scalars to $L_0(t,s)$. Since F is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, it contains a primitive rth root of unity μ_r and so we may consider the symbol algebra $(t,s)_r = \langle u^r = t, v^r = s, vu = \mu_r uv\rangle$ over $K_0(t,s)$. It is easy to prove that $((t,s)_r)_{L_0(t,s)}$ is a division algebra and we are done. Details are left to the reader.

Finally, for the uniqueness (second part of the theorem) we invoke once again the fact that any two G-graded simple algebras over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic zero are G-graded isomorphic if and only if they satisfy the same G-graded identities (see [3]).

□

We close the paper with the proof of Theorem 1.12.

Proof. Suppose A is a finite dimensional G-graded division algebra over a field K and let p and q be G-homogeneous polynomials such that p and $q \notin Id_G(A)$. If $pq \in Id_G(A)$ we have that $\mathfrak{A}_p = \{z \in A^n : p(z) \neq 0\} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_q = \{z \in A^n : q(z) = 0\}$. But this is impossible since $\mathfrak{A}_p$ is nonempty and open whereas $\mathfrak{B}_p \neq A^n$ and closed. For the opposite direction note that our condition on polynomials p and q implies A is G-prime and hence by Theorem 1.4 A is G-graded PI equivalent to a finite dimensional G-simple algebra. On the other hand the condition on p and q implies A is strongly G-graded verbally prime. Invoking Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 4.13 the result follows.

□

References

[1] E. Aljadeff, A. Kanel-Belov, *Representability and Specht problem for G−graded algebras*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 5, 2391–2428. 2, 6, 8, 9, 24

[2] E. Aljadeff and O. David, *On group gradings on PI-algebras*, Journal of Algebra 428 (2015) 403–424. 10

[3] E. Aljadeff and D. Haile, *Simple G-graded algebras and their polynomial identities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014), 1749–1771. 9, 14, 15, 26

[4] E. Aljadeff, D. Haile and M. Natapov, *Graded identities of matrix algebras and the universal graded algebr*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), 3125–3147. 14, 15

[5] [7] Y. Bahturin, M. Zaicev, and S. K. Sehgal, *Finite-dimensional simple graded algebras.* (Russian), Mat. Sb., 199(7), (2008), 21–40; translation, Sb. Math., 199(7), (2008), 965–983. 3

[6] A. Berele and J. Bergen, *P.I. algebras with Hopf algebra actions*, J. Algebra 214 (1999), no. 2, 636–651. 3, 5

[7] O.M. Di Vincenzo, *$\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded polynomial identities of verbally semiprime algebras.* Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), no. 5, 2031–2044. 3

[8] M. Cohen, S. Montgomery, *Group-graded rings, smash products, and group actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 282 (1) (1984) 237–258. 7, 24

[9] Giambruno, A., Zaicev, M.V., Polynomial Identities and Asymptotic methods, *AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **122**, Providence, R.I., 2005. 1, 9

[10] , Y. Karasik *G-graded central polynomials and G-graded Posner's theorem*, arXiv:1610.03977 2, 24

[11] , A. R. Kemer *Varieties and Z_2-graded algebras.* (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 48 (1984), no. 5, 1042–1059. 1

[12] P. Koshlukov and M. Zaicev, *Identities and isomorphisms of graded simple algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010), no. 12, 3141–3148. 9

Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

E-mail address: aljadeff 'at' tx.technion.ac.il (E. Aljadeff),

E-mail address: yaakov 'at' tx.technion.ac.il (Y. Karasik).